

# PRIME Steering Team Evaluation Report

Allison Krusky, MPH

Thomas M. Reischl, PhD

November 14 2011

## 1. What organization do you work for?

### Organization

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Michigan Department of Community Health	9	64.3	64.3	64.3
	University of Michigan School of Public Health	2	14.3	14.3	78.6
	Inter-Tribal Council of Michigan or Nimkee Memorial Wellness Center	3	21.4	21.4	100.0
	Total	14	100.0	100.0	

## 2. What have been your roles in the PRIME project so far?

*(Please check all that apply for you)*

	n=14	Frequency	Percent
Project Staff		3	21.4%
Steering Committee Member		12	85.7%
Intervention Group Member		4	28.6%
Evaluation Group Member		4	28.6%
Local Learning Collaborative (LLC)		3	21.4%
Native American Ad Hoc Data Group		3	21.4%
Total		29	---

*Note: Percents do not total 100; participants selected one or more roles.*

**3. What is your gender?**

		Gender			
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Male	2	14.3	14.3	14.3
	Female	12	85.7	85.7	100.0
	Total	14	100.0	100.0	

**4. Are you a person of Hispanic, Latino, or Spanish origin? (Check one answer.)**

Hispanic, Latino or Spanish origin				
	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
No	14	100.0	100.0	100.0

**5. Are you of Arab or Chaldean origin?**

Arab or Chaldean origin				
	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
No	14	100.0	100.0	100.0

**6. What is your race? (Check all that apply)**

	n=14	Frequency	Percent <sup>1</sup>
White		7	50%
Black/ African American		3	21.4%
Asian		1	7.1%
Pacific Islander (eg. Hawaiian, Samoan)		0	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native <sup>2</sup>		2	14.3%
Other <sup>3</sup>		1	7.1%

Note: 1. Percents do not total 100; participants selected one or more racial/ethnic groups. 2. Two tribes were represented. 3. Multi-racial

Please indicate your level of agreement by circling one answer for each statement.

<b>Goals and Commitment</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>Minimum</b>	<b>Maximum</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>Std. Deviation</b>
The level of commitment among the PRIME project staff and key stakeholders is high.	13	3	4	3.54	.519
I have a clear understanding of the goals of the PRIME project.	14	3	4	3.43	.514
The relevant abilities, skills and expertise of all PRIME project staff and key stakeholders are used to promote the PRIME success at meeting its goals.	14	2	4	3.29	.726
I have a clear understanding of how PRIME is trying to accomplish its goals.	12	3	4	3.17	.389
The PRIME steering committee has established reasonable goals.	13	2	4	3.08	.494
The organizations that belong to the PRIME project invest the right amount of time in our collaborative efforts.	12	2	4	2.92	.515
My ideas about what PRIME wants to accomplish with this collaboration seem to be the same as the ideas of others.	12	2	4	2.92	.669
I have a clear understanding of my own role and responsibilities on the PRIME project.	14	1	4	2.86	.864

*Note: Scale (1=Strongly Disagree, 2= Disagree, 3= Agree, 4= Strongly Agree)*

Please indicate your level of agreement by circling one answer for each statement.

**Descriptive Statistics**

<b>Communications and Decision Making</b>	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
PRIME project staff and project partners discussions are sensitive to social and cultural issues.	12	3	4	3.67	.492
My opinion is listened to and considered by other PRIME project staff and project partners.	12	2	4	3.50	.674
During meetings, PRIME project staff and project partners communicate honestly and openly.	11	3	4	3.45	.522
I am informed as often as I should be about what goes on in the PRIME Project.	13	3	4	3.38	.506
The process through which the PRIME project staff and project partners make decisions is fair.	12	3	4	3.25	.452
There is clear communication among the PRIME project work groups and steering committee.	12	2	4	3.25	.754
There is a clear process for making decisions among PRIME partners in the PRIME project.	11	2	4	2.91	.701

Note: Scale (1=Strongly Disagree, 2= Disagree, 3= Agree, 4= Strongly Agree)

Please indicate your level of agreement by circling one answer for each statement.

<b>Time Invested</b>	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
How often do you work on PRIME project activities (e.g., committee meetings, project activities)?	13	2	6	4.62	1.193

Note: Scale (1= Once a year or less, 2=Several times a year, 3=Once a month, 4=2-3 times a month, 5=1-2 times a week, 6=3 times a week or more)

<b>Time Invested</b>	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
How would you rate your level of involvement on the PRIME project?	12	1	3	2.08	.669

Note: Scale (1= Less than I prefer, 2=Right amount, 3=More than I prefer)

Please indicate your level of agreement by circling one answer for each statement.

<b>Ratings of the PRIME Leadership</b>	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
PRIME project leadership effectively communicate the vision and mission of the project.	12	3	4	3.42	.515
PRIME project leadership effectively implement steering committee policies and decisions.	11	3	4	3.36	.505
PRIME project leadership have good skills for working with other people and organizations.	11	3	4	3.64	.505

Note: Scale (1=Strongly Disagree, 2= Disagree, 3= Agree, 4= Strongly Agree)

<b>PRIME Steering Committee</b>	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
The PRIME steering committee includes sufficient diversity of organizations.	12	2	4	3.17	.718
I am satisfied with the PRIME steering committee's oversight of the PRIME project.	12	2	4	3.17	.577

Note: Scale (1=Strongly Disagree, 2= Disagree, 3= Agree, 4= Strongly Agree)

<b>Capacity Building</b>	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
Due to my involvement on the PRIME project, I have developed new relationships with project partners.	12	2	4	3.17	.718
I have learned about different health initiatives and opportunities in Michigan because of my involvement in the PRIME project.	12	3	4	3.42	.515
I have gained new knowledge and/or skills because of my involvement in the PRIME project.	12	2	4	3.58	.669
Due to my involvement in the PRIME project, my organization is better able to secure financial resources.	10	1	4	2.90	.876

Note: Scale (1=Strongly Disagree, 2= Disagree, 3= Agree, 4= Strongly Agree)

<b>New Relationships</b>	<b>N</b>
University of Michigan	4
Health Department <sup>1</sup>	2
MDCH <sup>2</sup>	3
Local Health Department Collaborative	1
Intertribal Council	2
Other	2

*Note: 1. Health department representatives, Ingham County trainers for social equity, 2. Division of MCH, Health Disparities, Epidemiology, 3. New people that work on the project and have a better/diverse understanding of the issue; State of Michigan and the several offices/programs that participate in this project.*

**Please indicate your level of agreement by circling one answer for each statement.**

<b>Achievements</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>Minimum</b>	<b>Maximum</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>Std. Deviation</b>
Conduct a comprehensive review of models and curriculum components for inclusion in the training curriculum/intervention model developed for the project.	11	2	4	3.27	.647
Initiate a state/local partnership to help build the capacity of MDCH to effectively incorporate successful local strategies in MCH programs, policies and procedures that reduce disparities in infant mortality.	10	3	4	3.20	.422
Establish an effective Steering Committee to help build the capacity of MDCH to reduce disparities in infant mortality.	12	2	4	3.08	.515
Effectively focus project activities to identify goals and actions steps to reduce African American and Native American disparities in infant mortality.	12	2	4	2.83	.718
Build the knowledge and competencies of MDCH staff to incorporate strategies to eliminate racism and health inequities in program planning to reduce disparities in infant mortality.	12	1	3	2.75	.622
Effectively review the organization structure and functions of the Bureau of Family, Maternal and Child Health (BFMCH) to identify capacities and needs related to reducing disparities in infant mortality.	11	1	4	2.73	.786

*Note: Scale (1= Strongly Disagree, 2= Disagree, 3= Agree, 4= Strongly Agree)*